



May 20, 2011

Ontario Energy Board
P.O. Box 2319
2300 Yonge Street
27th Floor
Toronto, ON M4P 1E4
Attention: Ms. Kirsten Walli, Board Secretary

Dear Ms. Walli:

Re: CDM Strategy Addendum (EB-2010-0215)

On November 26, 2010 the OEB requested an addendum be submitted for Enwin's CDM Strategy once the OEB confirmed the finalization of the OPA's funding formula.

On May 20, 2011 the OEB confirmed the OPA's funding formula has been finalized.

Enclosed please find *ENWIN's* CDM Strategy Addendum in respect of the CDM budgeted figures as part of the above-noted proceeding.

Yours very truly,

ENWIN Utilities Ltd.

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Andrew J. Sasso".

Per: Andrew J. Sasso

Background

On November 1, 2010, ENWIN Utilities Ltd. (“ENWIN”) filed a Conservation and Demand Management (“CDM”) Strategy Document (“Strategy”) to Ontario Energy Board (“Board”) to comply with the CDM Code.

ENWINs’ original Strategy submission indicated targets of 27 MW demand and 124 GWh energy savings. These targets were subsequently changed on November 12, 2010 to 26.810 MW and 117.890 GWh. Targets will be achieved mainly through Ontario Power Authority’s suite of CDM Programming (“Tier 1 Programs”).

On November 26, 2010, the Board advised ENWIN that its CDM Strategy needs to be amended to include funding information. The funding information must include the overall estimated budget not just the Program Administration Budget that was provided by the OPA. At the time of filing and still, complete funding information for Tier 1 programs are still not available.

Methodology

To be able to comply with the Board’s request, ENWIN used the best available information to reach the preliminary overall estimated budget for their 2011-2014 CDM Programming. The methodology used by other Local Distribution Companies (LDC) such as Toronto Hydro, Chatham Kent Hydro and North Bay Hydro, which were acknowledged by the Board, as being utilized to estimate reasonable budgets to run ENWIN Tier 1 programs. The methodology includes using the Resource Planning Tool provided by the OPA and making adjustments based on territory specific considerations.

Prospective Budget

To respond to this direction from the Board, ENWIN has prepared an estimated, prospective budget for planned Tier 1 Programs. The estimated overall budget requirement by ENWIN to meet its demand and energy targets is \$29,663,166.07.

<u>Program</u>	<u>Program total</u>
OPA Industrial Program	\$2,514,898.64
OPA Commercial and Institutional	\$16,235,794.26
OPA Consumer Program	\$10,912,473.18
OPA Low-income Program	NA
Portfolio total	\$29,663,166.07

* Note that the prospective budget portfolio total above is not inclusive of any OPA Low-income Program costs. Further details regarding Low-income programs are pending from the OPA.

Board Approved Programs

While the OPA funded (Tier 1) programs are expected to generate a majority of the MW and GWh savings, ENWIN realises that Board Approved (Tier 2 and 3) programs may be required to achieve overall target. ENWIN will monitor savings generated during 2011 and if necessary, develop Board Approved program(s) that are specifically designed for their customers' needs. The application for the program, including the funding information is to be determined and should be available for approval in 2012.

Limitations

These prospective budgets are intended to provide an indication of the scale of the resources required to meet the targets for ENWIN. The final numbers maybe higher or lower depending on the following factors:

- Technologies and measures to be implemented
- Details of program designs and the actual cost of delivering them
- The ability to meet "typical" costs in the ENWIN's service area
- The possible need for the programs to exceed energy targets in order to meet demand targets (or vice versa)

ENWIN will report to the Board the progress relative to budget in its annual reports and to advise the Board of any adjustments required to ensure that the targets are being met.